**QCPR 2024 Survey of UN Resident Coordinators**

Welcome to this survey on progress in implementing UN General Assembly resolution 75/233 on the Quadrennial Comprehensive Policy Review (QCPR) of UN operational activities for development, as well as General Assembly resolution 72/279 on the repositioning of the UN development system (UNDS).

The purpose of the survey is to enable Resident Coordinators to provide feedback on the country-level implementation of the QCPR, including the repositioning of the UNDS, with the ultimate purpose to improve UN development system support to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda. The results of the survey will be used in the preparation of the next Secretary-General’s report on the implementation of the quadrennial comprehensive policy review of operational activities for development of the United Nations system and the report of the UNSDG Chair on the Development Coordination Office (DCO) and the Resident Coordinator (RC) system to be presented to the Economic and Social Council and the General Assembly in 2025[[1]](#footnote-2).

Please be sure to submit the official response online. Depending on the browser used, the survey software can allow the questionnaire to be saved and reopened at a later stage on the same computer.[[2]](#footnote-3) Should you wish to keep a copy for your records, we advise you to record your responses into the Microsoft Word version of the questionnaire.

Responses and comments will be treated in strict confidence and will remain anonymous. The data will be used solely for the purposes of the mandated reporting of the Secretary-General and the Chair of the UNSDG as a feedback loop to help inform continued improvements in the work of United Nations country teams. The reporting will not contain any data or statement from which a reader can identify the source. Responses will be amalgamated by groups of countries by the UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs before being shared with other offices.

The link to the survey is included here for ease of access:

[www.surveymonkey.com/r/qcpr2024rc](http://www.surveymonkey.com/r/qcpr2024rc).

In this questionnaire, the term ‘UN entities’ is used to describe all UN organizations including UN Specialized Agencies, UN Funds and Programmes, and Departments of the UN Secretariat that are carrying out or supporting operational activities for development.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact the DESA QCPR team at qcpr@un.org.

Thank you for your cooperation!

# I. Country Profile

1. Please select your country/location [drop down menu]

Other (please specify)

If you currently serve as a Resident Coordinator that covers a UN multi-country office, please respond with reference to your country of residence. If there is a notable difference among countries covered in the UN multi-country office, please note this in the “other ” field.

1. Please provide your contact details for this survey response to facilitate survey validation or any technical issues with the response:

Last Name:

Email:

Title:

# II. The UN Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework

## Integrated and coordinated support

***Cooperation Frameworks*** *(CFs) are developed with the full participation of national governments, and in consultation with relevant stakeholders (A/RES/75/233 OP72). Entity-specific* ***Country Programme Documents*** *(CPDs)* ***or equivalent instruments*** *should be derived from the UN Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework’s agreed priorities (A/RES/75/233 OP 71, 72, 73, 74, 76).*

1. With regard to the main processes in the life cycle of the Cooperation Framework, the Government involvement was sufficient to ensure national ownership and alignment with development plans and strategies:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree  | Agree |  Disagree | Strongly disagree | Not applicable |
| CF development  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF implementation |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. What proportion of UN country team members involve the Resident Coordinator in key stages of agency-specific strategic planning in relation to UN Cooperation Framework agreed priorities?
* All
* Most
* Some
* None

*Optional comments:*

1. What proportion of UN country team members formally solicit the Resident Coordinator’s feedback on the alignment of their Country Programme Documents (or equivalent instrument) to the UN Cooperation Framework?
* All
* Most
* Some
* None

 *Optional comments:*

1. What proportion of Country Programme Document (CPDs) or equivalent programming instrument would you estimate are aligned with the Cooperation Framework?

Percent slider (0%-100%)

*Optional comments:*

1. Does the UNCT provide timely and sufficient financial data to enable the preparation of an effective Funding Framework for the Cooperation Framework?
* All entities provide sufficient information on time
* Most entities provide sufficient information on time
* Some entities provide information on time
* No entities provide information on time
* N/A – We don’t have a Funding Framework

*Optional comments:* Please briefly mention any good practices or challenges related to the timeliness of the data received.

1. Please estimate what percentage of the CF budget is allocated for joint programmes:

 >50%

 26-50%

 15-25%

 5-14%

 0-5%

*Optional comments*: Please specify any assumptions made in answering this question.

1. Over the course of the last year, has the UNCT reported an increase or decrease in joint resource mobilization?
* Increase in joint resource mobilization
* No change
* Decrease in joint resource mobilization

*Optional comments*:

1. Have you convened a country-level funding dialogue in support of the implementation of the Cooperation Framework, in line with the Funding Compact?
* Yes
* No
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

1. In the last 12 months, has the RC observed any change in Member State harmonization of reporting and visibility requirements on development-related contributions at the country level?

*Note: As part of the Funding Compact, Member States have committed to streamline their requests for reporting and visibility of their funding contributions, which often carry significant transaction costs for the United Nations and for the host Governments.*

* Significant increase in harmonization
* Some increase in harmonization
* No change
* Less harmonization
* Significantly less harmonization

 *Optional comments:*

## UN Country Team configuration

*Measures implemented to align* ***staff capacities and UNCT configuration*** *with the cross-sectoral requirements of the 2030 Agenda (A/RES/75/233 OP 91, 94) and the specific challenges and needs of programme countries (A/RES/75/233 OP 94, 95).*

*Note: UN configuration relates to the composition of a UN country team, including both UN entities physically present in the country and those contributing remotely. The tailoring of UNCT configuration entails a look at capacities needed to deliver on the outcomes in the Cooperation Framework, be it by in-country UN agency presence and/or from a regional /global base, or other non-physical presence modality**.*

1. The current UN configuration:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Stronglyagree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don’t know |
| Allows to respond quickly to needs (flexible) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is cost effective |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operates collaboratively |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments*:

1. The current UN configuration is suitable for meeting the specific challenges of the country, as set out in the CF or equivalent instrument.
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

1. There is a clear division of labour among UN entities at the country level.
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:* Please mention factors that have facilitated or been obstacles to ensuring a clear division of labour among the UN activities in the country.

1. Was a dialogue on a needs-based tailored UN country presence held between the Government and the UN development system, facilitated by the Resident Coordinator, in the light of the Cooperation Framework?
* Yes
* No, but we plan to do so within the next 12 months
* No
* Not applicable – we have not prepared a Cooperation Framework under the new procedures, still using an UNDAF
* Don’t Know

*Optional comments:*

1. Please briefly describe, if applicable, the main challenges that the UNCT currently faces (or may need to address in the future) in aligning and tailoring its presence to meet the specific needs of the country in pursuing the implementation of the 2030 Agenda.
2. The UN staff in the country team has the right mix of capacities and skills to support the country’s development:
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

1. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the UNCT’s expertise contributes effectively to the Cooperation Framework?
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

# III. The UN development system offer

*The integrated nature of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development calls for a UNDS that works in a coordinated and coherent manner while leveraging each entity’s mandate, role and expertise. The UN development system needs to move towards integrated action in response to the integrated and indivisible nature of the 2030 Agenda, by operating as a system within and among countries and at the regional and global levels to address the needs and priorities of programme countries (A/RES/75/233 OP 114, 86).*

*Alignment of UNDS operational activities for development with national plans, strategies and priorities reflects* ***a tailored, demand-driven approach and strong national ownership and leadership*** *(A/RES/75/233 OP2, 4).*

1. Compared to one year ago, the UN Country Team is providing support that is:
* Much more coherent
* More coherent
* The same as previously
* Less coherent
* Much less coherent
* Don’t know

*Optional comments: Please indicate any major factors impacting changes in coherence*

1. To what extent have the following measures improved the UNCT’s offer to the country in the last year:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | To a great extent | To a moderate extent | To a small extent | No Change | Don’t know | Not Applicable |
| Common Country Analysis  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooperation Framework  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Joint Workplans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strengthened capacity of the RC office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results groups  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transparency on resource mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collaborative approach to resource mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased pooled resources under the RC/UNCT’s management (such as country-level SDG funds) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased pooled resources available at global level (such as Joint SDG Fund, Spotlight, etc)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System-wide reporting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systematic implementation of Management and Accountability Framework (MAF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business Operations Strategy  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased use of common premises |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments (please specify the row):*

1. Overall, the activities of the UNCT, as articulated in the Cooperation Framework, and the country’s development needs and priorities are:
* Very closely aligned
* Closely aligned
* Slightly aligned
* Not aligned at all

*Optional comments:* Please briefly mention any measures the UN should take in order to achieve closer alignment between its activities and the country's development needs and priorities.

1. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the UNCT adequately provides:

(*Note: “Integrated” in this context refers to policy advice that is offered by the UNCT acting in a collective way, rather than through each entity acting individually.)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don’t know | Not applicable |
| Evidence-based policy advice that is tailored to national needs and priorities in the implementation of the 2030 agenda |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Joint integrated policy advice that is tailored to national needs and priorities in the implementation of the 2030 agenda |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical support in line with national needs and priorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support to securing financing for the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support in developing integrated national financing frameworks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support to leverage partnerships for national development priorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support for building statistical capacities and in data collection, analysis and management |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. The UNCT has contributed to needed changes in national policy and regulatory frameworks to advance the SDGs.
	* Strongly agree
	* Agree
	* Disagree
	* Strongly disagree
	* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

1. The Resident Coordinator has convened a diverse group of stakeholders focused on the financing mix needed to advance the SDGs.
	* Strongly agree
	* Agree
	* Disagree
	* Strongly disagree
	* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

1. The UNCT has contributed to national opportunities for public and private investment at scale to advance the SDGs.
	* Strongly agree
	* Agree
	* Disagree
	* Strongly disagree
	* Don’t know

 *Optional comments:*

1. The UNCT has strengthened collective efforts to build capacity of public institutions and civil society for SDG acceleration.
	* Strongly agree
	* Agree
	* Disagree
	* Strongly disagree
	* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

1. Please rate the UNCT’s effectiveness in mainstreaming a leave no one behind approach when carrying out the following actions:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Good | Adequate | Poor | Not applicable |
| Elaborating or updating the Common Country Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| Developing or reviewing the Cooperation Frameworks  |  |  |  |  |

1. If the Government presented a VNR in the last year, did the Government take **follow-up** actions to the Voluntary National Review (VNR)?
* Yes, and the Government requested the assistance of the UN in their implementation
* Yes, but no assistance was requested of the UN in their implementation
* No, the Government did not take follow-up actions to the VNR
* Don’t know
* Not applicable - the Government did not present a VNR in the last year

*Optional comments:*

1. *[Skip logic, If “Yes and the Government requested the assistance of the UN” to previous question]* Please identify the area(s) where the UN assisted in the **VNR follow-up** (after presentation of report):
* Data collection/compilation
* Data analysis
* Data dissemination (e.g. national SDG data platforms)
* Consultations with the Government
* Stakeholder engagement
* Resource mobilization
* Awareness raising for the SDGs
* Capacity building for the SDGs
* General advice on the VNR follow-up process
* Integration of the follow-up actions in the national plans and policies
* Monitoring and evaluation of VNR follow-up actions
* Other (specify in comments below)

*Optional comments:*

1. All things considered, to what extent were the **VNR processes** effective in strengthening implementation efforts towards the 2030 Agenda in the country?]
* Very effective
* Somewhat effective
* Somewhat ineffective
* Very ineffective
* Not applicable – the Government has not yet prepared/presented a VNR

 *Optional comments: How was it effective? How could it be made more effective?*

## Poverty eradication and leaving no one behind

*UNDS entities are called upon to support and work with programme countries in the context of COVID-19, in a coherent and collaborative manner in implementing, with urgency, sustainable solutions and catalysing partnerships, for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals in the post-COVID-19 era, giving particular attention to the specific challenges of countries in special situations, and analysing lessons learned from the response plans to the pandemic (A/RES/75/233, OP27).*

***Support to countries in implementing social protection systems and universal health coverage accelerates progress on poverty eradication*** *(A/RES/75/233 OP8, 25-27).*

***A climate- and environment- responsive approach,*** *including biodiversity and ecosystem-based approaches, is mainstreamed into UNDS policies, strategic plans, programme planning and delivery (A/RES/75/233 OP29, 30, 37).*

*Capacities (A/RES/75/233 OP22, 109) and cooperation are strengthened in* ***science, technology, and innovation and international cooperation*** *(A/RES/75/233 OP31);* ***data collection and analysis*** *(A/RES/75/233 OP32);* ***development planning, monitoring & evaluation*** *(A/RES/75/233 OP31-32),* ***educatio****n (OP38),* ***digital inclusion*** *(A/RES/75/233 OP27b, 100) and digital technologies for sustainable development and volunteerism (A/RES/75/233 OP45).*

***Reaching the furthest behind first*** *including persons with disabilities****, human rights, gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls****, are integrated across UNDS entities' strategies, plans, programmes and operations (A/RES/75/233 OP12-15, OP28, 39).*

1. Please rate the effectiveness of the UN country team support to the country in the following areas:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very effective | Somewhat effective | Somewhat ineffective | Very ineffective | Not applicable – no support requested | Don’t know |
| Implementing national social protection systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilizing domestic resources for social protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improving social protection, policy and delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. There is close collaboration among UNCT members on climate action programming.
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree

*Optional comments:* Please mention any steps the UN could take to increase collaboration on climate action programming

1. Apart from climate action, environmental considerations, such as addressing pollution and biodiversity loss, have been mainstreamed into other areas of programming and policy advice (i.e. economic affairs, health, trade, development planning, etc.) across the UNCT over the past year:
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

1. Has the host country requested policy advice from the UN development system on human rights?
* Yes
* No
* Don’t know
1. [*Skip logic: If “Yes”*] There is close collaboration among UNCT members on human rights programming:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Not applicable |
| Assisting the country in its engagement with international human rights mechanisms (Universal Periodic Review, treaty bodies, special procedures) and the use of their recommendations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upholding international norms and standards through advocacy and awareness raising |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supporting national partners on the ratification and domestication of international treaties and alignment of laws, policies and practices with standards |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building and strengthening of national capacities and institutions for the promotion and protection of human rights |  |  |  |  |  |

 *Optional comments:*

1. Over the past year, the UNCT has provided effective support in:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Dis-agree | Strongly Disagree | Not appli-cable – not requested by the host country |
| Strengthening the capacity of the host country to build resilience to crisis, disasters and extreme climate events |  |  |  |  |  |
| Implementing integrated policy approaches to **mitigate and adapt** to climate change |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. Over the past year, how effective was the UNCT support in digital technology and cooperation in the following areas:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very effective | Somewhat effective | Somewhat ineffective | Very ineffective | Not applicable -host country did not request support |
| Improving digital inclusion and closing the digital gap |  |  |  |  |  |
| Safeguarding online spaces to be open and safe for everyone |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governing the development and use of Artificial Intelligence |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. Has your host country requested support from the Resident Coordinator and UNCT to expand access to inclusive and equitable quality education and lifelong learning?
* Yes
* No
1. *[Skip logic – if “Yes”]* Over the past year, how effective has been the UNCT support in the following areas

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very effective | Somewhat effective | Somewhat ineffective | Very ineffective | Not applicable – host country did not request support |
| Improving children’s accessto digital learning |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improving digital literacy  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ensuring equal access to education and vocational training for persons with disabilities, indigenous peoples and children in vulnerable situations  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taking measures towards lifelong learning and reskilling  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Making curricula and pedagogies more relevant  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipping the teaching professions and education workforce  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increasing investment and financing in education |  |  |  |  |  |

 *Optional comments:*

1. Does the UNCT have sufficient capacity to deliver on gender equality as a **core** **priority** of work?
* Yes
* No

*Optional Comments:* Please briefly note how capacity can be improved.

1. Over the past year, the UNCT has provided effective support in:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Not applicable - country did not seek any support |
| Gender equality expertise |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enhancing the collection, availability and use of sex-disaggregated data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strengthening institutional mechanisms and legal frameworks to prevent and eliminate violence and discrimination against women and girls  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Implementing specific measures to prevent women and girls from gender-based violence  |  |  |  |  |  |

 *Optional comments:*

1. Has your host country requested UN support on youth?
* Yes
* No
1. *[Skip logic – if “Yes”]* Over the past year, how strong was the UNCT engagement in the following areas:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Good engagement | Fair engagement | Minimal/ No engagement | Not applicable – host country did not seek support |
| Improving participation of youth in public affairs, including in political and civic processes |  |  |  |  |
| Strengthening legal frameworks to improve political representation of youth, including young women |  |  |  |  |
| Addressing the specific needs of youth in the areas of health and social protection |  |  |  |  |
| Re-skilling and upskilling youth for employment |  |  |  |  |

*Optional Comments:*

## National systems

1. The UN has contributed to the strengthening of national institutional capacities in the following areas (if such support was requested from the Government):

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Not applicable – Govern-ment did not request such support | Don’t know |
| Planning  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitoring and Evaluation  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statistical capacities and data collection, analysis and management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data disaggregation |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:* Please mention briefly factors that have helped or hindered the UN system from being fully effective in strengthening national capacities.

1. Considering the financial management and other capacities available in the country, the UN system uses national systems in carrying out its work wherever possible *(Note: National systems refers to existing national structures, infrastructure, and services such as financial management systems, national statistics and monitoring systems, etc.)*
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:* Please mention briefly any good practices or challenges in this regard.

1. In the past year, the UN has contributed to the strengthening of subnational and local institutions and capacities.
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:* Please indicate ways in which the UNCT can better support the host country in this regard.

## Partnerships and Financing for Sustainable Development Goals

*Countries' capacities to engage in innovative funding approaches including blended financing (A/RES/75/233 OP58, 60-62), leverage* ***innovative national, regional and global partnerships*** *(OP 33-35)* ***and mobilize means of implementation*** *is strengthened (OP23-24, 27b, 44).*

1. Is there a UN country team common approach on partnerships?
* Yes
* No, but there are plans to develop one in the next year.
* No

 *Optional comments:*

1. The UNCT pursues a structured engagement with civil society groups throughout the planning and programming cycle by:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Not applicable  |
| Putting in place a vision/strategy to actively promote and protect meaningful, safe and diverse civil society actor participation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taking appropriate steps to actively promote and protect meaningful, safe and diverse civil society actor participation both online and offline |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishing or strengthening consultative mechanisms/platforms of dialogue on national development processes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addressing barriers that prevent specific population groups from engaging in development processes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Creating ongoing feedback loops  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Putting in place remedy mechanisms |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. What have been the main challenges, if any, for the UNCT in providing support for south-south and triangular cooperation?
* Lack of dedicated resources and capacity
* Lack of monitoring and evaluation
* Lack of engagement at national level
* Business rules and procedures
* Nature of the request
* Need for corporate strategy and policy
* Not applicable – the UN does not provide support on south-south and triangular cooperation
* Other (please specify in the comment box below)

*Optional comments*: If you checked any of the items above, please provide a brief explanation. Please also mention any plans to develop a collective approach.

1. Does the CCA and UNSDCF for your programme country integrate South-South and triangular cooperation as a modality to achieve national priorities and SDGs?
* Yes
* No
* Not applicable
* Don’t know
1. Does your UNCT currently have a working/thematic groups on South-South and triangular cooperation to coordinate its mainstreaming during CCA and UNSDCF rollout processes?
* Yes
* No
* Not applicable
* Don’t know
1. Has the UN country team provided capacity development support on South-South or triangular Cooperation in the context of developing national development strategies and relevant action plans, in the past year?
* Yes
* No, the host Government did not request any support from the UN on this
* Not applicable
* Don’t know

Optional comments:

# IV. The Management and Accountability Framework

*The Management and Accountability Framework is fully implemented, including a clear dual reporting model of accountability (A/RES/75/233 OP 75, 77 and 88).*

## A reinvigorated RC system

*Resident Coordinator system improves effectiveness and efficiency of operational activities for development at the country level (A/RES/75/233 OP 87, 92- 93, 97-99).*

1. The Resident Coordinator is empowered within the UN country team to do the following:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Make final decisions on the strategic objectives in the Cooperation Framework  |  |  |  |  |
| Substantially increase common resource mobilization  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribute common resources  |  |  |  |  |
| Inform country-level presence and leadership profiles of UN country team members |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:* Please mention briefly any challenges encountered.

1. How easy is it for the Resident Coordinator to access the expertise available within the United Nations development system to address national needs, priorities and challenges?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very easy | Somewhat easy | Somewhat difficult | Very difficult |
| Entities with physical presence  |  |  |  |  |
| Entities without physical presence  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:* Please note any main constraints on access to leverage expertise and knowledge.

1. The Resident Coordinator has the following attributes to prevent duplication of efforts within the United Nations country team:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Sufficient capacity  |  |  |  |  |
| Sufficient prerogative  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. In the past year, the focus on common results among entities of the UN development system at the country level has improved:
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Don’t know

*Optional comments:*

1. If your areas of activity/activities cover humanitarian and peacebuilding work, please assess the level of collaboration among UN entities engaged in more than one of the areas selected above:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very close collaboration | Close collaboration | Limited collaboration | No collaboration at all | Not applicable |
| Development-Humanitarian |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development-Peacebuilding |  |  |  |  |  |
| Humanitarian-Peacebuilding |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development-Humanitarian-Peacebuilding |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:* Please provide a good practice example of a whole-of-system response.

1. Has the Resident Coordinator experienced the sudden onset of a disaster where no Humanitarian Coordinator had been designated?
* Yes
* No
1. [*Skip logic: If Yes*] Was there a joint, methodologically sound and impartial response strategy prepared to address the emergency?
* Yes
* No

*Optional comments*:

1. The UN country team members regularly report to the Resident Coordinator in relation to the Cooperation Framework in a way that ensures strong coherence and complementarity of development, humanitarian and peacebuilding activities.
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree
* Not applicable (please explain below)

*Optional comments*:

## A new generation of UN Country Teams

1. The latest UNCT annual report to the host country adequately reflects the UNCT’s collective results and implementation of the Cooperation Framework:
	* Strongly agree
	* Agree
	* Disagree
	* Strongly disagree
	* Not applicable

*Optional comments:*

1. Do UNCT members report to the Resident Coordinator regularly to meet your coordination needs on:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | All UNCT members do this | Most UNCT members do this | Only a few UNCT members do this | No UNCT member does this |
| Important meetings and discussions with government and other partners |  |  |  |  |
| Entity-specific outreach to funding partners |  |  |  |  |
| Programme implementation on performance of CF elements led by the entity  |  |  |  |  |
| Entity-specific activities as relevant to CF results |  |  |  |  |
| Collective results based on the CF |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. The Resident Coordinator receives sufficient technical support from the UNCT to achieve the Cooperation Framework results in the following areas:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don't know |
| Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitoring and evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communication and advocacy |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. Please indicate the proportion of UN country team head of agencies for whom you have provided a formal input into their performance assessments in your capacity as Resident Coordinator in the past year.
* None
* Less than one third (but at least one)
* Between one and two thirds
* Greater than two thirds

*Optional comments:*

1. To what extent is the Resident Coordinator invited to participate in high level delegations, meetings and/or events of UNDS entities, including those events organized by entities not physically represented in the country?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | By all of them | By most of them | By some of them | By none of them |
| Entities physically present in the country |  |  |  |  |
| Entities not physically present in the country |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. Compared to two years ago, how informed is the Resident Coordinator of all in-country activities carried-out by entities not physically represented in the country.
* More informed
* No change
* Less informed
* Not applicable

*Optional comments:*

1. Do UNCT members consult with the Resident Coordinator on sensitive cases related to their normative agenda?
* Yes
* No
* Don't know

*Optional comments:*

1. How would you characterize the willingness of UNCT members to cooperate with you and your office in the past year?
* Very willing
* Willing
* Reluctant
* Very reluctant

*Optional comments:*

1. There are no significant gaps and/or disconnects between UN Sustainable Development Group policies and the UNCT reality in your country.
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree

*Optional comments:*

1. *[Skip logic – if “Disagree” or “Strongly disagree”]* Please note areas and policies with significant gaps and suggestions to close the gaps. What solutions do you have or have seen implemented to bridge these disconnects?
2. The implementation of the Management and Accountability Framework has improved in the UNCT over the past year.
* Strongly agree
* Agree
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree

*Optional comments:* Please note briefly which aspect(s) of the MAF can be further improved and why.

## The regional and global dimensions

1. Please indicate how effective the following regional coordination mechanisms are in providing support on the regional or sub-regional issues of greatest relevance to the country(ies) under your purview.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very effective | Somewhat effective | Somewhat ineffective | Very ineffective | Not applicable |
| Regional Collaborative Platforms |  |  |  |  |  |
| Issue-Based Coalitions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peer Support Groups |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:* Please indicate what can be further improved.

1. To what extent has the UNCT leveraged support from the relevant regional collaborative platform(s) in the past year?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | To a great extent | To a moderate extent | To a small extent | No change | It has worsened | Not applicable | Don’t know |
| Normative and policy support related to the 2030 Agenda |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical expertise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platforms for policy discussion and exchange of experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional SDG monitoring, reporting, follow-up and review activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comment*

1. In the last year, you and the UNCT have benefitted from support of the headquarters of UN entities on:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Not applicable | Don’t know |
| Integrated policies to realize the SDGs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communications and Advocacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data analytics and Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Digital transformation  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Innovation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leveraging partnerships |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leveraging Financing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normative advice |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performance and results orientation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strategic Foresight  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Optional comments:*

1. Please choose the top areas of UN development system repositioning that have had the greatest positive impact in your UNCT’s contribution to support the country’s sustainable development progress. **[**select all that apply**]**

*A new generation of UNCT*:

* + a revitalized, strategic, flexible and results and action-oriented United Nations Sustainable Development Framework
	+ UNCT presence and configuration
* Support to multi-country offices
* Strengthened capacities, resources and skill sets
* Common business operations

*A reinvigorated RC system*:

* + dedicated, independent, impartial, empowered and sustainable development-focused coordination function and its transition to the Secretariat
	+ strengthened authority and leadership of resident coordinators, as the highest-ranking representatives of the United Nations development system

*A revamped regional approach*:

* reprofiled and restructured through Regional Collaborative Platforms (RCPs)

*Strategic direction, oversight and accountability for system-wide results:*

* + improved efficiency, transparency and quality of governance structures
* improved monitoring and reporting on system-wide results
* strengthening of independent system-wide evaluation

*Funding the United Nations development system:*

* + creation and implementation of the Funding Compact
* funding arrangements for the RC system
* funding for joint activities
* efficiency gains
* *Other (please specify)*

*Optional comments:*

1. Please add any information you deem relevant to the objectives of this survey.
2. Finally, we would welcome any comments you would like to make on the survey itself.

**Thank you**

1. For past reports see <https://www.un.org/ecosoc/en/oas-qcpr> [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Requires cookies to be saved on the device. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)