FRAMEWORK FOR GLOBAL-LEVEL MONITORING & REPORTING ON THE FUNDING COMPACT FOR THE UN'S SUPPORT TO THE SDGSⁱ

1. A MORE STRATEGIC AND RESPONSIVE UN DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM, SUPPORTING THE ACHIEVEMENT OF SDG RESULTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT NEEDS AND PRIORITIES, AND ANCHORED IN INTERGOVERNMENTALLY AGREED UN PRINCIPLES, NORMS, AND STANDARDS, AND THE UN CHARTER

MEMBER STATES		UNSDG ENTITIES	
1. Increase the share of UN entity budgets funded by predictable core/unearmarked resources		I. Clearly demonstrate the UN's contribution towards SDG results	
Voluntary core/unearmarked funding as a share of total voluntary funding to UN operational activities for development from Member States	Baseline: 11.8% (2022) Target: 30% (2027ⁱⁱ) <i>(CEB data)</i>	% of UNCTs reporting against agreed common indicators on the UN development system contribution to advancing the SDGs	Baseline: 15% (2022) Target: 100% (2028) (UN INFO)
# of Member States contributing 30% or more to voluntary core/unearmarked funding as a share of total voluntary funding to UN operational activities for development	Baseline: 47 (2022) Target: 100 (2027) (CEB data)	% of Cooperation Frameworks with Joint Work Plans (showing activities against outcomes), published to UN INFO in the last 12 months	Baseline: 74% (2023) Target: 100% (2028) (UN INFO)
% growth in the volume of voluntary core contributions to the UNDS with the top ten Member State core contributors excluded ⁱⁱⁱ	Baseline: -7.7% (2022 from 2021) Target: +20% (2027 from 2022) ^{iv} (CEB data)	Average % of Cooperation Framework output indicators that contribute to aggregated reporting on system-wide results	Baseline: 32% (2023) Target: 75% (2028) (UN INFO)
# of Member State contributors giving more than US\$10 million annually in voluntary core funding to UNDS entities	Baseline: 20 (2022) Target: 40 (2027) (CEB data)	% of quality assurance checks conducted of Cooperation Framework evaluations which result in a grade of "good" or "very good"	Baseline: 82% (2021) Target: 100% (2027) (OIOS)

MEMBER STATES		UNSDG ENTITIES	
% of UNDS entities indicating that at	Baseline: 29% (2023)		
least 50% of their core contributions	Target: 100% (2027)		
are part of multi-year commitments	(DESA survey to		
	UNSDG entities)		
2. Enhance the flexibility of non-core funding		II. Ensure visibility and recognition for all core &	
commitments, including at country level		flexible contributions, and trans	sparency of
		funding needs, budgets & expe	nditures against
		results	_
% of non-core contributions from	Baseline: 5.2% (2022)	% of relevant UNSDG entities that have	Baseline: TBD
Member States provided through	Target: 15% (2027)	developed robust guidance for visibility	Target: 100% (2028)
single-agency thematic funds	(CEB financial data)	of core & flexible contributors	(DESA survey to
		(including in line with common visibility	entities)
		standards, once developed)	
% of non-core contributions from	Baseline: TBD	% of Cooperation Frameworks with a	Baselines: 42% &
Member States to single entities for	Target: TBD	multi-year funding framework and an	82% (2023)
programmes at regional or country	(CEB data –	annual funding framework published to	Target: 100% (2028)
level, without further earmarking. v	forthcoming)	UN INFO	(UN INFO)

2. A MORE COLLABORATIVE AND INTEGRATED UN DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM, WORKING IN PARTNERSHIP TO ADDRESS COMPLEX SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CHALLENGES

MEMBER STATES		UNSDG ENTITIES	
3. Increase contributions to interagency pooled		III. Enhance joint resource mobilization and	
funds to enhance the collective results of the UN		partnerships, and pooled funding mechanisms	
development system at all levels			
% of non-core contributions for development activities provided by Member States through interagency pooled funds	Baseline: 11.4% (2022) Target: 30% (2027) (CEB data)	% of inter-agency pooled funds applying the agreed UNSDG standards on common management features	Baseline: 77% of MPTFs, 69% of joint programmes (2021) Target: 100% both (2028) (MPTF Office)

MEMBER STATES		UNSDG ENTITIES	
Total annual contributions to	Baseline: \$325 million	% of UNCTs with a Joint Resource	Baseline: 61% (2023)
development-related country-level	(2023)	Mobilization Strategy, with focus on	Target: 100% (2028)
Multi-Partner Trust Funds (MPTFs) in	Target: \$800 million	joint programming, and funding gaps in	(UN INFO)
support of Cooperation Frameworks	(2027) (MPTF Office)	Cooperation Frameworks.	
Annual voluntary contributions to the	Baseline: \$56 million	# of interagency MPTFs (above \$5m a	Baseline: 10 (2022-
Joint SDG Fund	(2023)	year in annual contributions) with a	2023)
	Target: \$500 million	mid-term and final evaluation	Target: 25 (by 2027)
	(2027) (DCO)	completed as stated in the M&E	(MPTF Office)
		workplan	
Annual voluntary contributions to the	Baseline: \$132 million	% of non-core funding for development	Baseline: 34% (2022)
Peacebuilding Fund	(2023)	mobilized by UN entities from non-	Target: 45% (2027)
	Target: \$500 million	Member State contributors	(CEB data)
	(2027) ^{vi} (PBSO)		
4. Provide adequate, predictable	e & sustainable	IV. Fully support coordination of U	IN development
funding to the Resident Coord	linator system	activities, including the leadership role of RCs, and a whole-of-UN approach to all aspects of the	
-	-		
		development planning cycle	
Annual funding gap in the RC system	Baseline: \$54M (2023)	% of UNSDG entities reporting annually	Baseline: 0% (2023)
budget	Target: \$0 (2025)	to their governing body on	Target: 100% (2028)
	(DCO)	implementation of the full checklist on	(UNSDG)
		UN development system reform	
Number of Member States providing	Baseline: 2 (2024)	% of UNSDG entities for whom the RC	Baseline: TBD
the 1% levy "at source", using the	Target: 10 (2028)	has provided input into the	Target: 100% (2028)
donor-administered option.	(DCO)	performance review of their country	(UNSDG)
		representatives in all countries	
% of Member States providing levy	Baseline: 54% (2022)	% of UNCTs updating Common Country	Baseline: 31% (2023)
revenue in line with estimated levy	Target: 100% (2027)	Analysis annually and making it publicly	Target: 100% (2028)
amounts, based on CEB data.vii	(DCO)	available	(UN INFO)
		% of UNSDG evaluation offices engaging	Baseline: (a) 81% (b)
		in (a) joint or (b) system-wide	56% (2022)
		evaluations	Target: (a & b) 100%
			(2028) (UNEG)

3. A MORE EFFICIENT & STREAMLINED UN DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM, MAXIMIZING HUMAN AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE FOR SUPPORTING SDG ACHIEVEMENT

MEMBER STATES		UNSDG ENTITIES		
5. Enhance donor coordination, and work towards		V. Strengthen the achievement of efficiencies and		
reducing individual visibility, reporting,		clearly demonstrate and report on these to		
assessment and partnership requirements		governing bodies		
Common visibility standards developed for contributors to use with all UN development contributions ^{viii}	Baseline: no standards Target: Standards developed & agreed by 2026 (UNSDG/DCO)	Efficiency gains reported by UNSDG entities, through entity-specific and joint initiatives, in the last year	Baseline: \$405 million (2022) Target: \$620 million (2028) (UNSDG BIG)	
# of MOPAN members conducting an assessment of UN entities (which have been assessed by MOPAN in the last 3 years) ^{ix}	Baseline: TBD Target: 0 (2028) (DESA Survey)	Average # of entities per location independent service ^x	Baseline: 6 (2022) Target: 16 (2028) (UNSDG BIG)	
6. Ensure alignment of non-core funding to strategic		VI. Ensure alignment of programmes	and capacities to	
priorities and needs identified in UN strategic		strategic priorities and needs identified in UN		
plans and budgets approved	plans and budgets approved by governing bodies,		strategic plans and budgets approved by	
and Cooperation Frameworks at country level		governing bodies, and Cooperation Frameworks at		
		country level		
Average annual funding gap as a % of	Baseline: 32% (2023)	% of UNCTs compliant with UNCT	Baseline: 83%	
budgeted funds outlined in UNSDCF	Target: 5% (2027)	configuration exercise in line with UNSDG	Target: 100%	
Funding Frameworks	(UN INFO)	guidance	(2028) (UN INFO)	
Extent to which Member State	Baseline: 57.1%	Extent to which UN development system	Baseline: 56.2%	
funding partners use country-owned	Target: 95% (2028)	entities use country-owned results	Target: 95% (2028)	
results frameworks and planning	(SDG Indicator 17.15.1 /	frameworks and planning tools for	(SDG Indicator	
tools for developing their country-	GPEDC) ^{xi}	developing their country-level	17.15.1 / GPEDC) ^{xii}	
level interventions		interventions		

INDICATORS TO TRACK MUTUAL FUNDING COMPACT IMPLEMENTATION, MONITORING & REPORTING

Funding Compact website established with dashboard to see progress on above	Baseline: No
indicators, and library of individual entity and UNCT reporting, and funding data	Target: Yes (by ECOSOC OAS 2025) (DCO)
% of relevant governing bodies of UN entities holding a funding dialogue in the last	Baseline: TBC
year on the basis of a tailored monitoring & reporting framework	Target: 100% (2028) (DESA Survey)
% of UNCTs which have organized an inclusive country-level Funding Compact dialogue	Baseline: 1% (2023)
in the last year with partners (including top voluntary core contributors)	Target: 90% (2028) (UN INFO)

NOTES ON INDICATORS AND TARGETS:

ⁱⁱ The final year of reporting for this Funding Compact will be 2028 (reporting released Q2 2029). The latest CEB financial data available will be 2027, while UNSDG data will be based on 2028.

ⁱⁱⁱ Top 10 donors are considered those giving the largest volumes of voluntary core/unearmarked funding to the UN's operational activities for development, according to CEB data. Measurement of this indicator includes Member State and non-Member State core contributors.

^{iv} Growth will be measured relative to 2022 figures.

^v See annex to the Funding Compact on "typologies of funding modalities and levels of earmarking for sustainable development". The current CEB financial data includes some disaggregation of non-core funding (eg: interagency pooled funding, single-agency thematic funding, local government contributions) but it does not disaggregate further. As such, potential contributions to, eg: an entity's country program, without earmarking to any specific project or theme, are not currently differentiated in the data. The UN will work to develop a clear definition of such 'softly earmarked' contributions which can be monitored and reported, and, ideally, incentivize a reduction in degree of earmarking and increase of flexibility of non-core contributions.

^{vi} The overall resource mobilization target for the Peacebuilding Fund (US\$500 million per year), includes, from 2025 onwards, US\$50 million as assessed contributions from the UN Regular Budget.

ⁱ This monitoring and reporting framework is to support ECOSOC's oversight and follow-up of Funding Compact implementation, including collectively by Member States, and by the UN Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG) at a global and system-wide level. This framework is to be complemented by tailored monitoring frameworks for individual UNSDG entities, for use by their governing bodies, and country-level frameworks determined at national level as part of funding compact dialogues between UN country teams, national governments, and development partners. The indicators featured in this and other monitoring frameworks give a partial snapshot of progress, but they cannot tell the whole story. These frameworks must be complemented by qualitative information, results reporting, and regular inclusive dialogue at all levels. Additionally, implementation will be tracked through independent biannual reviews in 2026 and 2028, with outcomes to be presented to the Operational Activities Segment of ECOSOC.

^{vii} As the volume of funding to which the levy should apply is only able to be estimated on the basis of CEB data, for the purposes of this indicator any Member State providing more than 80% of the estimated amount will be counted as meeting this indicator.

viii There is a need for a common understanding and expectations among major contributors of core and flexible funding when it comes to visibility and recognition of such funds. Certain entities have established policies or guidance on visibility, but face diverse requests and expectations from contributors. In order for the UN to more systematically ensure visibility and recognition, there is a need for common standards to be developed in consultation and with the agreement of major core and flexible funding contributors.

^{ix} Such bilateral assessments do not include internal desk reviews based on existing public data sources or assessments which do not place a significant burden on the UN entity being assessed.

* Location independent services are those back-office services which are provided to entities from a centralized location (eg: headquarters or global service center) rather than on a country-by-country basis at national level.

^{xi} This SDG indicator is expressed as a figure out of 1 (eg: 0.571 / 1). It will be converted to a percentage for the Funding Compact. It is calculated on the basis of development partners reporting on their six largest in-country projects/programmes per country. The use of country-results frameworks is tracked by looking at three sub-measurements: (1) proportion of projects/programme objectives drawn from country strategies or plans; (2) proportion of projects/programme result indicators drawn from existing government results frameworks, plans and strategies; and (3) proportion of project/programmes results indicators that will be reported using data from government monitoring and national statistical systems.

xⁱⁱ This SDG indicator is expressed as a figure out of 1 (eg: 0.562 / 1). It will be converted to a percentage for the Funding Compact. It is calculated on the basis of UN entities reporting on their six largest in-country projects/programmes per country. The use of country-results frameworks is tracked by looking at three sub-measurements: (1) proportion of projects/programme objectives drawn from country strategies or plans; (2) proportion of projects/programme result indicators drawn from existing government results frameworks, plans and strategies; and (3) proportion of project/programmes results indicators that will be reported using data from government monitoring and national statistical systems.