

## QCPR MONITORING AND REPORTING FRAMEWORK

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
<b>II</b>							
<b>Funding of operational activities for development</b>							
<b>II. A. General principles</b>							
1	24	Total funding for UN operational activities for development.	CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	\$23.9 billion	\$26.4 billion	
2	11, 24	% share of UN-Operational Activities for Development relative to total ODA.	1) CEB Financial DB and reporting system; 2) OECD.Stat	Annually	17.0%	17.0%	
3	11, 24	% share of UN-Operational Activities for Development relative to total multilateral ODA.	1) CEB Financial DB and reporting system; 2) OECD.Stat	Annually	29.1%	28.5%	
4	24, 33, 77	Total funding from non-OECD/DAC countries (excluding local resources)	CEB Financial DB and reporting;	Annually	\$1.193 billion	\$1.531 billion	
5	24, 33, 77	% share of funding from non-OECD/DAC countries relative to total estimated global South-South cooperation flows (excluding local resources)	1) CEB Financial DB and reporting system; 2) IDCR	Annually	9.1%	N/A <sup>1</sup>	
6	24, 37	Total funding received from non-state partners.	CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	\$5.946 billion	\$7.218 billion	
7	24, 37	% share of total funding for UN Operational Activities for Development coming from non-state partners.	CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	24.9%	27.3%	
<b>II.B. Enhancing the overall funding, in particular core resources</b>							
8	25, 33	Total core funding for UN Operational Activities for Development	CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	\$6.709 billion	\$6.722 billion	
9	26, 29	% core share of funding for development-related activities from governments (excluding local resources).	CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	45.9%	45.0%	
10	27, 28, 44	% share of non-core resources for development-related activities channeled to pooled, thematic and joint-funding mechanisms applied at the global, regional and country-levels.	CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	10.2%	9.2%	
11	118, 124(g), 135, 141	% of programme countries where over 20% of UN resources relate to joint programmes and are subject to pooled or pass-through and/or MDTF fund management arrangements (as	1) RCAR* 2) CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	23.9%	14.6%	

<sup>1</sup> South-South cooperation data for 2013 not available

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
		against parallel funding)	3) MPTF Office				
12	35	# of UN entities reporting to their governing bodies in 2014 on concrete measures to broaden the donor base.	DESA UN HQ survey**	One time (2014)	17	17	
13	39	# of UN funds and programmes <sup>1</sup> that defined common principles for the concept of critical mass of core resources by 2014	DESA UN HQ survey;	One time (2014)	8	8	
<b>II.C. Improving the predictability and quality of resources</b>							
14	41	# of UN entities consolidating all projected core and non-core resources within an integrated budgetary framework.	DESA UN-HQ survey**	Annually	N/A	17	
15	42	% of UNCTs implementing a common budgetary framework at country level	RCAR*	Annually	21%	21.3%	
16	24, 33,40	% of top ten donors of funds and programmes with core contributions changing by 20 per cent or more from the previous year.	CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	17.9%	35.9%	
17	46	# of UN entities that held structured dialogues in their respective governing bodies during 2014 on how to finance the development results agreed in the new strategic planning cycle.	DESA UN HQ survey**	One time (2014)	13	13	
18a	10, 11,	% share of core country-level programme expenditures spent in Least Developed Countries (excluding local resources).	CEB Financial DB and reporting system;	Annually	40.6% (2011)	46.2%	
18b	13, 19, 30	% share of total country-level programme expenditures spent in Least Developed Countries (excluding local resources).			50.5% (2012)		
<b>II.D. Ensuring full cost recovery</b>							
19	47, 53	# of UN entities that have adopted harmonized cost recovery frameworks by end of 2013.	DESA UN HQ survey**	Annually	11	11	
20a	43, 48, 51, 53	% of total core expenditures on development-related activities by funds and programmes directed to programme activities	DESA desk review of agency annual reports	Annually	64.4% (2011)	N/A <sup>2</sup>	
20b		% of total non-core expenditures on development-related activities by funds and programmes directed to programme activities			92.7% (2011)		
21a	43, 48, 51, 53	% of total core expenditures on development-related activities by specialized agencies and other UN entities directed to programme activities	Source to be determined <sup>3</sup>	Annually	69.1% (2011)	N/A <sup>57</sup>	

<sup>2</sup>Data will be available in next report since GA resolution 67/226 called for full implementation of new cost recovery frameworks in 2014.

<sup>3</sup> Data not available from DESA UN-HQ survey

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
21b		% of total non-core expenditures on development-related activities by specialized agencies and other UN entities directed to programme activities			83.1% (2011)		
22	54	# of UN entities reporting on cost recovery amounts within their regular financial reporting.	DESA UN HQ survey	Every two years	13	13	
<b>III Capacity Development &amp; Operational Effectiveness</b>							
<b>III.A. Capacity-Building and Development</b>							
23	14, 57-63	% of new UNDAFs or equivalents that substantively address national capacity development	Desk review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	96%	
24	57-63	% of programme country Governments that 'strongly agree' that UN system has been effective in developing national capacities	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	24.1% (2012)	30.6% (2014)	
25	64	% of programme country Governments that 'strongly agree' that UN system uses national monitoring and reporting systems wherever possible	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	10.2% (2012)	17.1% (2014)	
26	64, 66	% of Resident Coordinators who 'strongly agree' that the UN system is using parallel implementation units (PIUs) as little as possible	RC survey <sup>4</sup>	Every two years	N/A	16.7%	
27	63	Common approach and framework to measure progress in capacity development results developed.	UNDG/UPN	One time	N/A	No	
28	63	Average % of agency country offices using the common UNDG capacity measurement approach (when fully developed)	Coordination support survey	Annually (once fully developed)	N/A	N/A	
29	14, 15	Three dimensions of sustainable development reflected in strategic plans of UNDG members	DESA desk review of agency strategic plans	Every two years	N/A	Yes	
<b>III.B. Poverty Eradication</b>							
30	70,71	% of new UNDAFs or equivalents that substantively address poverty eradication	Desk review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	96%	
31	19,73	% of programme country governments who "strongly agree" that UN contributions in the eradication of multi-dimensional poverty and achievement of IDGs is "significant" <sup>5</sup>	DESA programme country survey	Biennial	N/A	26%	
<b>III.C. South-South Cooperation and Development of National Capacities</b>							

<sup>4</sup> Programme country survey did not reflect this indicator. This report therefore updated the source and the indicator in respect of Resident Coordinators.

<sup>5</sup> 2014 survey measured % of programme country governments who strongly agreed that the UN is effective in ensuring adequate attention and resources are given to the development needs of the poorest and most vulnerable segments of society

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
32	74,77	# of UN entities that integrate south-south cooperation into their strategic plan.	DESA UN HQ survey**	Every two years	N/A	20 (95%)	
33	74,77	# of UN entities that actively report on south-south cooperation in their annual reports	DESA UN HQ survey**	Every two years	N/A	18 (86%)	
34	74,77	% of new UNDAFs or equivalents that substantively address south-south and triangular cooperation	Desk review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	75%	
<b>III.D. Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment</b>							
35	81,85 88,91	% of new UNDAFs or equivalents that have specific results and resources for gender equality	Desk review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	80%	
36	81,82 83,85	% of countries conducting the gender scorecard that meet minimum standards (rating 4) in at least half of the gender score card areas	RCAR*/UNWOMEN <sup>6</sup>	Annually	N/A	52%	
37	86 89	# of UN entities that track and report on allocations and expenditures using gender markers	UN-Women <sup>7</sup>	Annually	N/A	11 [as of Jan 2014]	
38	83 90	Minimum set of gender indicators developed and endorsed by UN statistical commission for system wide use	UNWOMEN	Once	N/A	Endorsed	
39	86 92	# of entities that have achieved gender balance among both General Service staff and high-level posts (P4 and above)	SWAP	Annually	N/A	GS: 30/34 P4 & above: 2/35 <sup>8</sup>	
40	87	Status of implementation of the system-wide evaluation of the effectiveness, value added and impact of the System-wide Action Plan on Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women.	JIU	Once starting in 2016	N/A	N/A	
<b>III.E. Transition from Relief to Development</b>							
41	93, 94	% of new UNDAFs or equivalents that have effectively integrated disaster and climate risk	Desk Review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	79%	
42	93,94, 108-110	% of programme countries that report biennially on progress on disaster risk reduction.	UNISDR	Annually	N/A	N/A	
43	104, 105	# of countries in which agreements / arrangements/ initiatives exist with key partners including the Bretton Woods institutions for response to crisis	RCAR*	Annually	N/A	41.8%	
44	107	Endorsement of standardized instruments by the Secretariat	Integration Steering Group	Annually	N/A	IAP	

<sup>6</sup> Added UNWOMEN as new source

<sup>7</sup> Indicator 37 previously suggested an additional source "DESA desk review of agency annual reports". As UNWOMEN will provide such information, this source has been removed, as DESA has no such capacity.

<sup>8</sup> Source: UN Women for GS and from CEB for P4 and above

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
		entities and the UN Development system to support joint programming and business operations in countries with a UN mission present				endorsed in April 2013	
45	102	# of countries with country-led and inclusive mechanisms to coordinate support to national priorities for transition	RCAR*	Annually	N/A	81%	
<b>IV</b>							
<b>Improved Functioning of the United Nations Development System</b>							
<b>IV.A. United Nations Development Assistance Framework</b>							
46	4,5, 7, 12, 21, 113, 114	% of programme countries where a joint national Steering Committee (or similar group) conducted annual UNDAF (or equivalent) review in the past 12 months	RCAR*	Annually	53% (2013)	64.2% (2014)	
47	130(b), 171	% of UNCTs that have submitted an UNDAF progress report to the national government once in the past four years (and annually for DaO)	RCAR*	Annually	N/A	71.8%	
48	9, 12	% of programme country governments that strongly agree that the UN is effective or highly effective in facilitating the participation of civil society and national non-governmental organizations in national development processes <sup>9</sup>	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	N/A	61%	
49	9, 12, 20, 114, 124(i)	% of civil society organizations that 'strongly agree' that the United Nations collaborates 'as much as possible' with civil society and national non-governmental organizations	CSO survey supplemented by Coordination support survey	Every 4 years	44.1 (2012)	N/A <sup>10</sup>	
50	5, 7, 12, 113, 115	% of governments that consider UN activities 'very closely' or 'closely' aligned with national needs and priorities	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	83%	93%	
51	18, 116	% of governments which are 'satisfied' or 'very satisfied' with the UN's ability to provide access to relevant expertise from across the UN system including NRAs <sup>11</sup>	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	54%	56%	
52	23, 58	% of UNCTs that indicate that disaggregated data i.e. income level, gender, age, disability, minorities (ethnic, religious, language, etc.) and indigenous people has been adequately used to inform the country analysis stage	RCAR*	Annually	N/A	<b>Income:</b> 87.2% <b>Gender:</b> 79.5% <b>Age:</b> 83.3% <b>Disability:</b>	

<sup>9</sup> 2014 survey reveals % of programme country governments that select the UN as a preferred partner in facilitating the participation of civil society and national non-governmental organizations in national development processes

<sup>10</sup> CSO survey is conducted every four years; it was not conducted in 2014

<sup>11</sup> 2012 and 2014 surveys: % of programme country governments that consider 'provides access to specialized knowledge in a wide range of subject areas' to be a 'very relevant' attribute of the UN system.

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
						51.3% <b>Minorities:</b> 47.4% <b>Indigenous peoples:</b> 24.4%	
53	23	% of new UNDAFs or equivalents that include reference to needs of persons with disabilities in line with UNDG guidance note on including the rights of persons with disabilities in UN programming at country level	Desk review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	69%	
54	58	% of UNCTs that have used human rights-based approach as a programme principal in the new UNDAFs	Desk review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	84%	
55	14	% of new UNDAFs or equivalents that include sustainable development as a strategic priority area in line with UNDG programming guidance	Desk review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	96%	
56	119	# of UN entities which <sup>12</sup> have developed measures to simplify and harmonize agency-specific programming instruments (specify type of instrument)	DESA UN-HQ survey**	Annually	N/A	13 (68%)	
57	117, 119	Average number of months needed for preparation process of new UNDAFs.	Desk review by Regional UNDG (PSG)	Annually	N/A	12	
58	117, 120	% of programme country governments that 'strongly' or 'somewhat' agree that there is a clear division of labor among UN agencies at the country level	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	N/A	67.1%	
59	117	% of programme country governments that 'strongly agree' that UNDAF or another UN planning framework has helped to improve focus on results <sup>13</sup>	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	47.7 (2012)	47.2 (2014)	
60	121	# of UN agencies which have aligned planning and budgeting cycles to the QCPR timeframe	DESA UN-HQ survey**	Annually	12	15	
<b>IV.B. Resident Coordinator System</b>							
61	42, 124(h)	Full implementation of the following elements of the M&A system:					
61a	130(a) 130(c)	- % of UN entities that have revised the Job description of their UNCT members to recognize the role of the RC	DOCOC collecting at HQ level supplemented by Coordination support	Annually	N/A	70%	
61b	131	- % of UN entities recognizing reporting obligations to the			N/A	70%	

<sup>12</sup> Wording change from who to which

<sup>13</sup> 2012 and 2014 surveys reveal the % of programme country governments that 'strongly agree' that UNDAF or another UN planning framework has helped to the UN to achieve better results than if each UN agency had planned its support to your country separately

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
		RC on resource mobilization and programme implementation performance of any UNDAF/One Programme elements led by the agency	survey				
61c		- % of UN entities that have included RC's inputs in UNCT members performance appraisal system			N/A	60%	
61d		- % of UN entities that have included UNCT results in agency representatives' performance appraisal system			N/A	75%	
61e		- % of UNDP country directors that have signed delegation of authority letters, including for resource mobilization, with RC/RRs	RCAR*		N/A	85%	
62	124(b)	% of RCs that are female	DOCO (HR database)	Annually	40%	39%	
63	124	% of RCs from programme countries	DOCO (HR database)	Annually	44%	44%	
64	125	% of governments that are of the view that UN staff (heads of agencies) has the 'right mix of capacities and skills including for high-quality policy and programme advice, and the highest standards of leadership skills'	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	N/A	<b>Capacities:</b> 82.1%	<b>Leadership:</b> 88.3%
65 <sup>14</sup>	128	# and % of UN entities fully implementing the cost-sharing arrangement	DOCO	Annually	N/A	11 entities/ 60%	
66	128	Proposal on funding modalities of the resident coordinator system submitted to ECOSOC & GA in 2013	UNDG	One time (2013)	No	In progress <sup>15</sup>	
67a	128	Contributions in cash provided to the RC system	RCAR*/ DOCO <sup>16</sup>	Annually	N/A	\$18.8 Million	
67b <sup>ii</sup>		Contributions in kind provided to the RC system	TBC	TBC	N/A <sup>17</sup>		
68	124(a), (b), 127(c)	RCAC reviewed and improved with the ultimately goal of bringing in high-caliber leaders	DOCO	Once	N/A	Completed	
69	124(J)	% of UNCT members <sup>18</sup> with 'very adequate' delegated authority to make decisions on behalf of their agency.	Coordination support survey	Annually	N/A	24%	
<b>IV.C. Delivering As One</b>							
70	134	# of Delivering as One countries	DOCO	Annually	33	43	

<sup>14</sup> The UN entities will also be enumerated (#), in addition to reporting in %.

<sup>15</sup> Please refer to the text under Section IV.B. on the RC System

<sup>16</sup> DOCO added as a source

<sup>17</sup> No source could be identified. Further efforts will be made in 2015 to identify a data source.

<sup>18</sup> Changed from UNCT by agency to UNCT members

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
71	134	% of countries applying components of the SOPs	RCAR*	Annually	N/A	50%	
72	130(b)	% of countries with an annual UN Country Results Report	RCAR*		N/A	71.4% (2014)	
73	138	% of programme countries that considered adopting Delivering as One that were satisfied with information provided to them by the RC/ UNCT to enabling them to take an informed decision on Delivering as One	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	N/A	78%	
74	141	# of RCs/ <sup>19</sup> UNCT members who state that in regard to DaO they have received effective support from headquarters	Coordination support survey	Annually	N/A	53%	
75a	137	UNDG approved HQ Plan of Action to address challenges and bottlenecks, in particular at the headquarters level, which prevent the Delivering as One countries from fully utilizing the efficiency gains from the delivery as one approach	DOCO	One time	N/A	Completed	
75b		UNDG implemented HQ Plan of Action to address Challenges and bottlenecks, in particular at the headquarters level, which prevent the Delivering as One countries from fully utilizing the efficiency gains from the delivery as one approach		Annually (starting in 2015)	N/A	Ongoing	
76	143 and E/RES/2014/5	Options for the review and approval of the common country programme documents of the “Delivering as one” countries presented to ECOSOC in 2014	Jointly by UNDP, UNICEF, UNFPA and UN-Women	One time (in 2014)	No	No <sup>20</sup>	
<b>IV.D. Regional Dimensions</b>							
77	146 147	# of joint RCM/R-UNDG regional common positions (papers) to advocate on key development issues	RCM/R-UNDG work plans and reports	Annually	N/A	15 <sup>21</sup>	
78	148 149	% of UNCT members that ‘strongly agree’ with the statement that the regional Peer Support Group provides value-added to the formulation of the UNDAF.	Coordination support survey	Annually	N/A	5% <sup>22</sup>	
79	146-150	% of UNCT members stating that RCMs provides effective support on highest priority regional/sub-regional issues of relevance to the country.	Coordination support survey	Annually	N/A	53%	
80	146-150	% of UNCT members stating that regional UNDG provides effective support on the highest priority regional/sub-regional	Coordination support survey	Annually	N/A	50%	

<sup>19</sup> The UNDG Coordination Support Survey did not ask the question of RCs separately. They were grouped with all other UNCT members. Therefore data on RC responses cannot be provided

<sup>20</sup> however, a joint UNDP-UNFPA-UNICEF-WFP informal consultation was held in September 2014

<sup>21</sup> As per information received from R-UNDGs in Arab States [1], Latin America & Caribbean [4], Asia/Pacific [5], Africa [0], Europe & Central Asia [5]:

<sup>22</sup> Please note that 58% “agree” to this statement [as opposed to “strongly agree”, which is 5%]



#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
		issues of relevance to the country					
81	146-150	% of UNCT members stating that regional commissions provide effective support on the highest priority regional/sub-regional issues of relevance to the country	Coordination support survey	Annually	N/A	42%	
<b>IV.E. Simplification and Harmonization of Business Processes</b>							
82	161	UNDG strategy developed by end of 2013 to support the establishment of common premises in programme countries that wish to adopt them	UNDG reporting (TTCP)	One time (2014)	No	No	
83	152	Plan for consolidated common support services <sup>23</sup> at country level submitted to governing bodies in 2014, including in the areas of financial management, human resources, procurement, ICT and other services	DESA UN-HQ survey	Annually until done	No	No	
84	155	Plan for the system wide harmonization of regulations and rules, policies and procedures in all functional areas of business operations presented by the HLCM and UNDG for review by ECOSOC by end of 2014	UNDG/HLCM to report	Annually until done	No	No	
85	159	Proposal on the common definition of operating costs and a common and (standardized) system of cost control presented in 2014	HLCM/UNDG <sup>24</sup>	Annually until done	No	No	
86	156	% of countries with 25 or more per cent of the annual UN financed procurement volume done by the government	OMT survey (TBC)	Every two years	N/A	21.8%	
87a	160	Report on feasibility study for establishing interoperability of ERP systems undertaken	HLCM reporting	One time (year TBC)	No	No	
87b	160	Report on progress to achieve full interoperability of ERPs in 2016	HCLM reporting	One time (2016)	No	N/A <sup>25</sup>	
88a	152, 154	# of countries implementing common services	RCAR*	Annually	N/A	N/A <sup>26</sup>	
88b		# of countries implementing common LTAs					
88c		# of countries implementing harmonized approach to procurement					
88d		# of countries implementing common HR management					

<sup>23</sup> Word “services” added

<sup>24</sup> Source has changed to HLCM/UNDG from “DESA desk review of agency annual reports, supplemented by DESA UN-HQ survey as needed”.

<sup>25</sup> Study under way

<sup>26</sup> Data for indicators 88a through 88f will be available in the next report, through the new UNDG – RCAR system.

#	OP	Indicator	Source/ Collection method	Frequency	Previous Report	Current Report	
88e		# of countries implementing ICT services					
88f		# of countries implementing financial management services					
89	64, 152	% of countries that are fully HACT-compliant	HACT Advisory committee & RCAR	Annually	N/A	N/A <sup>27</sup>	
90	153	# of UN entities that presented plans to their governing bodies for intra agency rationalization of business operations by the end of 2013.	DESA desk review of agency annual reports	One time (2014)	N/A	7 (46%)	
<b>IV.F. Results-Based Management</b>							
91	171	% of non-DaO UNCTs producing annual results reports	RCAR*	Annually		46%	
92	166 168	# of UN entities using common RBM tools and principles as identified in the UNDG RBM handbook	DESA desk review of agency annual reports supplemented by DESA UN HQ survey	Every two years	N/A	15 (68%)	
93	170	# of UN entities that have prepared clear and robust results frameworks for strategic plans for implementation in 2014	DESA desk review of agency strategic plans	One time (2014)	N/A	15 (71%)	
94	172	Results-based management and system-wide results reporting across UN system reviewed by 2016	JIU	One time (2016)	No	No	
95a iii	166	Average % share of total personnel at country level dedicated to RBM and M&E.	TBC	TBC	N/A	N/A	
95b		Average % share of total personnel in the HQ-level office dedicated to RBM and M&E					
<b>IV.G. Evaluation of Operational Activities for Development</b>							
96	173	# of entities that have an evaluation tracking system that includes the status of implementation of evaluations and management responses.	DESA UN-HQ survey	Every two years	N/A	18 (95%)	
97	181	Policy for Independent system-wide evaluation developed and proposal for pilot system-wide evaluation submitted for discussion at ECOSOC in 2013.	JIU on behalf of ICM <sup>iv</sup>	One time (2013)	No	Yes	
98	175	% of programme countries that 'strongly agree' that the UN has contributed to the strengthening of national evaluation capacities.	DESA programme country survey	Every two years	N/A	15.7%	
99	182	% of UNDAF evaluations for which management response was prepared (from UNDAF group)	RCAR*	Annually	N/A	47.9%	

<sup>27</sup> The concept of 'HACT-compliant country' has been discontinued. A revised indicator will be developed in 2015.

---

## NOTES

\*Where the RCAR (Resident Coordinator Annual Report) is identified as a data source, a DESA-led RC Survey complemented by Section 2 of the UNDG Coordination Support Survey will be substituted as the data source in 2014 since data provided by the RCAR may not be available in time.

\*\* Source has changed to DESA HQ survey from DESA desk review of agency strategic plans, as the latter has not proved feasible in practice for all UN entities

<sup>i</sup> In the context of this framework, and while recognizing it is a UN entity which is neither a fund nor a programme, UN-Women is classified under 'UN funds and programmes'.

<sup>ii</sup> There remain 2 indicators, 67b and 95, for which the data source is still to be confirmed. Indicator #67b was developed at a time when it was expected that a harmonized definition of "in kind" contribution to the RC system would be decided on in the near future. At the time of completing the Task Team's work, there was no system-wide agreement on methodology for this indicator. Therefore the indicator itself will need to be revisited once the UNDG discusses and agrees on methodology. In addition, some Task Team members felt indicator #95 on per cent of personnel dedicated to RBM and M&E at the HQ and country levels was either unmeasurable or very difficult to estimate. Further work is on-going to determine whether this indicator needs to be reformulated in such a way to keep transaction costs to a minimum.

<sup>iii</sup> Ibid.

<sup>iv</sup> Correction to a mistake [previously stated "ECOSOC Report"]